From: the living soul
Steven Douglas from the family of Kisilevich

To: Amtsgericht Pinneberg, Außenstelle,
Osterbrooksweg 42+44, 22869 Schenefeld

In Regards to:
The legal instrument 76 M 616/26 is a Non-Sufficient Response to the first (1st) Notice that was served and called a Notice of Asseveration and Declaration of the Facts, Faith and the Truth as well as a Non-Sufficient Response to the second (2nd) Notice that was served and called a Notice of Default and Opportunity to Cure.

Notice of a Non-Sufficient Response

Notice to Agent is Notice to Principle;
Notice to Principle is Notice to Agent,
applicable to all successors and assignees.

I the living man Steven Douglas from the family of Kisilevich (herein known as "the living soul Steven") who is of sound mind and body am serving this lawful document called a "Notice of Non-Sufficient Response" to the Amtsgericht Pinneberg, Außenstelle, Osterbrooksweg 42+44, 22869 Schenefeld (herein known as "Respondent") in regards to the legal instrument 76 M 616/26 (herein known as "Instrument") that is a Non-Sufficient Response to the first (1st) Notice that was sent on the 16th of February 2026 and called a Notice of Asseveration and Declaration of the Facts, Faith and the Truth as well as a Non-Sufficient Response to the second (2nd) Notice that was served to the Respondent on the 4th of March 2026 and called a Notice of Default and Opportunity to Cure, dated before the Instrument and has as of the date of this writing on the 11th of March 2026 been completely ignored, not refered to and has had no response from the Respondent, as well as the Notices that were served to the Respondent have not been rebutted on a point-for-point basis as required and zero evidence has been provided to all the claims that were made by the Land Schleswig-Holstein, vertreten durch das Finanzministerium des Landes Schleswig-Holstein, Wilhelminenstraße 34, 24103 Kiel (herein known as "Land Schleswig-Holstein") or by the woman acting in the role as an Obergerichtsvollzieherin (herein known as "Meldau"), of Friedenstraße 100, 25421 Pinneberg.

"Fiction cannot harm a man, but a man who believes he is a fiction can be harmed." ~ a Maxim of Law

As of the date of this Notice of a Non-Sufficient Response there has been no sufficient response to the first (1st) Notice called a "Notice of Asseveration and Declaration of the Facts, Faith and the Truth" and no response to the second (2nd) Notice called a "Notice of Default and Opportunity to Cure" and now a third (3rd) and final Notice called a "Notice of Fault and Dishonor" has been sent to the Respondent as a final chance to provide the evidence to all the claims that were made in the Instrument. This Notice of a Non-Sufficient Response as well as the Instrument does not diminish, interfere with, supercede or replace the previous Notices that were already sent and served to the Respondent, Meldau and that are still awaiting a proper response and evidence to be provided for the claims that were made by the Land Schleswig-Holstein, or Meldau, the Respondent or any other agents, principles or persons.

Mere claims, demands, unclear or conspicious instructions, responses only to selected pieces or areas, allogations, perponderances and presumptions are an insufficient response, as the evidence must be factual, truthful, clear and certain. Where there is no credible evidence for a valid claim, then there is non-sufficient evidence to set forth a valid complaint.

Since the Respondent, Meldau and the Land Schleswig-Holstein has failed to provide the evidence for all claims that were and still are being made, the Instrument is a Non-Sufficient Response, made in bad faith, without honor and until a proper and full response is made, as instructed, then the Instrument has zero merit and no standing.

"Ei Incumbit Probatio Qui Dicit, Non Qui Negat." ~ Black's Law Dictionary, 4th Ed., 1968
(Upon him rests the burdon of proof who avers, not upon him who denies)

"An unrebutted affidavit stands as truth in commerce." ~ Maxim of Law

This Notice of Non-Sufficient Response is also being served to all agents, principles and persons as a rebuke, a rebuttal and a correction to the Instrument that did not fully, properly, correctly nor accurately reflect, summerize or address the asseverations made by me the living soul Steven and did not fully, properly, or correctly provide the evidence to the claims that were made by the Land Schleswig-Holstein or Meldau or by the Respondent.

The Respondent, Meldau and Land Schleswig-Holstein have thus far, as of Wednesday the 11th of March 2026 still failed to comply with the instructions within the lawful documents that were served on the 23rd of February 2026, then on the 4th of March 2026 and also sent on the 9th of March 2026 and if the agents, principles or persons involved fails to rebutt on a point-for-point basis the Notice of Asseveration and Declaration of the Facts, Faith and the Truth as well as the Notice of Default and Opportunity to Cure and fails to uphold the demands for the evidence to the claims that were made within the Instrument, then they will be at fault and in dishonor, thereby rendering the matter closed and ended as there would be no basis for a valid claim.

The claims that were made in the Instrument for which the evidence still needs to be provided are (this instrument being the DR II 1308/25):

A. that I the living soul Steven am presumed to be the person "Herr Steven Kisilevich" or the surety or the trustee for the person, then I still need to see the evidence.

B. that I the living soul Steven am in the "care of" a juristic person, whereas in reality I am being held against my will where there is no evidence of a bona fide valid contract and no evidence of having jurisdiction over a living being in this matter and therefore I still need to see the evidence.

D. that I the living soul Steven am under some kind of obligations or duties, then I still need to see the evidence.

E. that I the living soul Steven am a debtor or am to be paying a debt for a person, then I still need to see the evidence.

F. that I the living soul Steven am a "Lord" (Herr), then I still need to see the evidence.

G. that I the living soul Steven am an Addressee (Adressat) or the trustee or the surety for a person who is an Addressee, then I still need to see the evidence.

H. that I the living soul Steven was invited to an appointment or was the trustee or the surety for a person that was invited to an appointment on Wednesday the 17th of December 2025, then I still need to see the evidence.

I. that I the living soul Steven needed to appear or am the trustee or surety for a person that needed to appear, then I still need to see the evidence.
(How can a man who lives, who has a soul, who simply is, be able to appear to be himself? Is it not true that a man is a man and therefore is who he is or he is not a man but may have the appearance of a man?)

J. that I the living soul Steven am under obligations and duties or am the trustee or surety for a person that is under obligations and duties to any of the paragraphs (§) within the Instrument, then I still need to see the evidence.

K. that I the living soul Steven have public duties or am the trustee or surety for a person that has public duties, then I still need to see the evidence.

L. that I the living soul Steven am under an obligation or duty to sign or am the trustee or surety for a person that has an obligation or duty to sign, then I still need to see the evidence.

M. that I the living soul Steven am under the jurisdiction of the Respondent, Meldau or Land Schleswig-Holstein, then I still need to see the evidence.

"Actus judiciarius coram non judice irritus habetur" ~ Suits in Chancery by Henry R. Gibson, 2nd Ed., 1907.
(A judicial act outside of the judges authority is null and void.)

This last quote from Henry R. Gibson explains why all acts by all agents, principles, entities or persons have not had any signature of a judge, just as there was no signature of a judge on the arrest warrant ("Haftbefehl") as well as no signature of a judge on the Instrument, as no judge wants to be held liable, moreover even liable for crimes against humanity. Multiple judicial acts have been and still are being made, yet there has been zero evidence that all agents, principles, entities and persons involved have jurisdiction over me the living soul Steven.

Jurisdiction over a man or a person is not a theory as the Respondent is claiming "Thesen die Jurisdiktion", it is a fact, one that has been established and practiced for hundreds of years, as proof, see the book: Suits in Chancery by Henry R. Gibson, 1907.

I do not agree, I do not need to agree or accept any "verspäteten Eingangs bei Gericht als unzulässig zurückzuweisen" as the Notice of Asseveration and Declaration of the Facts, Faith and the Truth was sent by Deutsche Post on the 16th of February 2026, thereby falling well within the request that was refered to in the legal instrument DR II 1308/25 and no other legal instruments or documents were delivered prior to the DR II 1308/25.

Since the §§ 1 and 2 of the GVO were changed between the dates of the 31st of July 2012 and the 1st of August 2012, then the Respondent, Meldau or Land Schleswig-Holstein will still need to provide the evidence of legitimacy (with force) and "Hoheitliche Befugnisse" over a living being, especially where there is no proof of consent or a contract (as this is suppose to be a civil matter and all civil matters fall under contract law) with my full and genuine consent, made under full disclosure, made with a meeting of the minds (consensus ad idem), made in good faith and with honor.

The claims that were made in the Instrument, many of which were already claimed in the instrument DR II 1308/2-5, to which the evidence still needs to be provided are:

(1.) Since it is still being claimed that I the living soul Steven am to be kept in "Haft" on the order of a "Haftbefehl" when I was arrested, assaulted, detained and falsely inprisoned, then I still demand to see the evidence that the "Haftbefehl" has anything to do with me or that I am the trustee or the surety for a person with a "Haftbefehl," all of which needs to be done within the remaining time that has been specified within the written Notices that were served upon the Respondent.

(2.) Since the Respondent is having the living soul Steven held in detention ("Haft") and is thereby claiming to have jurisdiction over the living soul Steven, then I still demand to see the evidence within the remaining time that has been already specified within the written Notices that were served upon the Respondent.

(3.) Since the Respondent is claiming that the juristic person "Steven Kisilevich" is being held in detention, whereas I the living soul Steven am the one that is being held in detention against my will, against my inalienable rights, against my natural rights and even against human rights, therefore I still demand to see the evidence of a bona fide contract that was made with honor, full disclosure, a meeting of the minds and with my full and genuine consent as well as evidence of jurisdiction over me the living soul Steven or that I am the trustee or surety for the person "Steven Kisilevich" all of which needs to be done within the remaining time that has already been specified within the written Notices that were served upon the Respondent.

(4.) Since the Respondent is still claiming that I the living soul Steven am "Der Schuldner" or am the trustee or surety for a person who is the debtor, then the Respondent is still required to provide the evidence within the remaining time that has been already specified within the written Notices that were served to the Respondent.

(5.) Since the Respondent is still claiming that I the living soul Steven have ("seiner") a "Person als „Steven Kisilevich"", then the Respondent is still going to have to provide the evidence within the ~~remaining~~ remaining time that has been already specified within the written Notices that were served upon the Respondent.

(6.) Since the Respondent is claiming that I the living soul Steven made the claim "völker rechtliche Thesen die Jurisdiction", I therefore demand to see the evidence within the remaining time that has already been specified within the written Notices that were served upon the Respondent.

(7.) Since the Respondent is still claiming that I the living soul Steven or that I am the trustee or surety for a person, that had a "Ladung" to a "Termin" on the 17th of December 2025, then I still demand to see the evidence within the remaining time that has already been specified within the written Notices that were served upon the Respondent.

(8.) Since the Respondent is still claiming that I the living soul Steven or that I am the trustee or surety for a person that has a "Pflicht zur Abgabe", then I still demand to see the evidence within the remaing time that has already been specified within the written Notices that were served upon the Respondent.

(9.) Since the Respondent is still claiming that I the living soul Steven was required to participate in a meeting on the 03.02.2026 or that I was the trustee or surety for a person that was required to be present at such a meeting, then the Respondent still needs to provide the evidence within the remaing time that has already been specified within the written Notices that were served upon the Respondent.

(10.) Since the Respondent is claiming that I the living soul Steven have "bestreitet," then I will need to see the evidence for such a claim.

(11.) Since the Respondent is claiming that I the living soul Steven have "behauptet," then I will need to see the evidence for such a claim, or does the Respondent claim or also claim that Trust Law does not exist, that there is no Executor, Beneficiary or Trustee within the legal practices in Germany?

(12.) Since the Respondent is claiming that the "Schuldner" called "Steven Kisilevich" served a "Widerspruch", then I demand to see the evidence that a juristic person or the surety or the trustee for any person wrote, ~~signed~~ Autographed and placed a thumbprint on the lawful documented pages and that it was not me the living soul Steven, as I have asseverated.

Am I the living soul Steven correct in assuming that the Respondent believes or is claiming that a man is the same as a person and or that a person is a man? If any agent, principle or person is claiming that a GmbH has the same rights or jurisdiction as a living being with a soul, then I will need to see the evidence. Every educated man or woman should know that there are different levels of jurisdiction as this is one of the pillars to the European courts to make a higher ruling over the German courts or the International Court in the Hague or the United Nation declaration of human rights, all proving that there are different levels of Jurisdiction (Juris - Dictio = to speak the Law). The Almighty God teaches us through His word that His word, His commandments are our law as He has spoken everything into existence.

In regards to the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, I the living soul Steven hereby serve the Respondent with this Notice of Non-Sufficient Response to adhere to the Articles that were made on the 16th of December 1948 to which Germany stands under as a signee for the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, in particular to a regard the Articles 1, 4, 5, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11.1, 11.2, 12, 18, 20.2, 30.

Any ignorance of the law on the part of the Respondent, Meldau or Land Schleswig-Holstein is not my responsibility since a Maxim of Law elequently states: "that ignorance of the law is no excuse."

No party, entity, agent, principle or person is rightfully allowed to make claims in good faith and with honor against another, then ignore providing the evidence to their claims that can show any validity, facts or truth when served with a Notice containing a counter-claim and a rebuttal that is demanding to see the evidence to support the first claims and then try to ignore the Notice that they were served that was demanding the evidence to back up their claims by submitting a Non-Sufficient Response whereby making even more new claims.

I the living soul Steven never served a "Widerspruch", rather I served a Notice of Asseveration and Declaration of the Facts, Faith and the Truth that contained a rebuttal and some counter-claims that were made in response and in regards to the only legal instrument (DR II 1308/25) that I have ever first received in this matter and that as of the date of this writing ~~has~~ the Notice of Asseveration and Declaration of the Facts, Faith and the Truth has went unrebutted on a point-for-point basis and instead a Non-Sufficient Response has been made wherein zero evidence for all claims made in the legal instrument DR II 1308/25 has currently been provided.

As I the living soul Steven have already offered a "conditional acceptance" within the second (2nd) Notice called the Notice of Default and Opportunity to Cure that was served to the Respondent on the 4th of March 2026, therefore there is no conflict, ("Streit"), complaint, arguement or fight as I have come in peace, writing in peace, declared peace and therefore I am not at war as well as I am not a party to any conflict, "Streit", complaint, arguement or fight, or dispute.

"Fictio Cedit Veritati. Fictio Jures Non Est Ubi Veritas."
(Fiction yeilds to Truth. Where there is Truth fiction of law exists not.)
~ Black's Law Dictionary, 4th revised Ed., 1968

The Respondent was instructed and still is being strongly instructed to make all responses to me the living soul Steven who is the author and creator of all the Notices served to the Respondent and not to the addressee (Adressat) or juristic person such as "Steven Kisilevich" unless the Respondent can provide the evidence that I am the juristic person or the trustee or surety for a juristic person.

I the living soul Steven have not or ever will consent to these proceedings and do not accept, decline and forbid all offers, instruments or documents made to any person or legal fictions (Ens Legis) as well as including the juristic person "Steven Kisilevich". All further offers made to me the living soul Steven using the names of any persons or juristic persons are hereby denied and all agents, principles, persons and the Respondent is immediately notified to cease and desist as well as notified that I reserve the right to ignore or destroy any or all further attempts and that my silence will not be taken or accepted as having my consent or a tacit agreement.

If the Respondent, an agent, principle or person wants or demands anything from the juristic person "Steven Kisilevich", then the Respondent, agent, principle or person is going to have to find a way to communicate with the juristic person "Steven Kisilevich" without any involvement or intervention by me the living soul Steven, unless evidence can be provided that I am the person or the trustee or the surety for the person by way of a bona fide contract made with honor, with full disclosure, with my full and genuine consent, with a meeting of the minds and with a certainty of terms that were agreed upon and by me the living soul Steven.

Being that some man or woman is acting in a role to make decisions on behalf of the Instrument, they will therefore be required to sign with their full name (in legible form) so as to be held liable.

Is it not true that no acts can be made against a living being without their consent and without another living being to be held accountable for their acts?

"Actus Me Invito, Non Est Meus Actus." ~ Black's Law Dictionary, 4th revised Ed., 1968
(The act done by me against my will is not my act.)

I the living soul Steven have never given my full and genuine consent, under full disclosure, with a meeting of the minds, made in good faith and with honor and with a certainty of terms that was agreed upon by the Respondent, Meldau, and Land Schleswig-Holstein and if any agent, principle or person claims otherwise then the evidence will need to be provided within the remaining time that has been specified within the written Notices that I served upon the Respondent.

As of the date of this lawful document no sufficient evidence, in fact no evidence at all has been provided and until all evidence can be provided by the Respondent, Meldau or Land Schleswig-Holstein or any other agent, principle or person, the I the living soul Steven am not under any obligation, duties or jurisdiction to any persons involved, as the facts, faith and truth have thus far went unchallenged, unrebutted on a point-for-point basis, without a sufficient response thereby still remaining as the facts and truth and soon these facts and truths will also stand as truth and facts in commerce and a court of record forever, sealed, recorded and placed in the public domain with a public announcement of the agreement.

Since the Respondent, Meldau and Land Schleswig-Holstein or any other agents, principles or persons involved have all opted to either provide no response or a Non-Sufficient Response, their failure to do so will be accepted as their tacit agreement, having left the battlefield in fault and dishonor when no sufficient, proper and full response has been made by providing the evidence to the claims that were made before the Notice of Fault and Dishonor expires.

I have declared multiple times that I the living soul Steven am not the surety or the trustee for any legal person and that no juristic persons have jurisdiction over me and soon these truths and facts will be made a matter of public record by way also of a public announcement, evidencing the established agreement between me and the Ministry of Justice from October 2023 when they were served the third (3rd) and final Notice called a Notice of Asseveration and Declaration of the Facts, Faith and the Truth, thereby sealing these facts, faith and truth forever and therefore rendering all further proceedings or acts after October 2023 to be null and void. This battle was already won by me the living soul Steven when the Ministry of Justice left the battlefield by not making a response and thereby giving their tacit agreement that I gladly accepted and will now make it a public announcement.

"He who leaves the battlefield first loses by default." ~ Maxim of Law

After there is no response or/and a non-sufficient response to the Notices served to the Respondent, Meldau, Land Schleswig-Holstein and all agents, principles or persons, after the third (3rd) and final Notice called a Notice of Fault and Dishonor expires, then a Certificate of Non-Response and Non-Sufficient Response that will be made with three (3) witnesses who will be able to testify that no evidence and a non-sufficient response as well as a no response was made or provided and the witnesses will sign to this as being the fact and truth, thereby settling this matter and all the evidence thereof: Notice of Asseveration and Declaration of the Facts, Faith and the Truth, Notice of Default and Opportunity to Cure, Notice of Fault and Dishonor, Notice of Non-Sufficient Response, the legal instrument DR II 1308/25, the Instrument and the Certificate of Non-Response and Non-Sufficient Response will be made a matter of public record for all to see and announced in a public notice.

As the Respondent, Meldau, Land Schleswig-Holstein and all agents, principles and persons involved are now guilty of a Non-Sufficient Response, thereby the Instrument is "unzulässig und zurückzuweisen" and thereby any act, rule, regulation, legislation, presumption, statute, code, ordinance, obligation or demand within the instrument has no weight, authority or jurisdiction until the evidence can be provided to prove the claims that were made as demanded in the Notice of Asseveration and Declaration of the Facts, Faith and the Truth as wellas in the Notice of Default and Opportunity to Cure that were served to the Respondent and as of the 11th of March 2026 have still went unrebutted on a point-for-point basis and by not providing credible evidence in claim format sworn under oath or attestation under penalty of perjury and upon full liability.

I the living soul Steven Douglas from the family of Kisilevich hereby asseverate that I have first hand knowledge or have read the above and know it to be true, correct, made in good faith and with honor, written by my very hand and is complete to the best of my knowledge and ability on a day that only the Almighty God of Israel knows but is commonly known as the 11th of March 2026. The Respondent has three (3) days from the delivery date of this Notice of Non-Sufficient Response to make a response.

Autographed by:
Steven Douglas:
from the family of Kisilevich (Creditor)
Sealed by my thumbprint
All rights reserved, none waived, without prejudice

I the living soul Steven Douglas from the family of Kisilevich call upon the Almighty God (YHWH) who is the Creator of Heaven and earth and I also call upon all the hosts of Heaven to be my witnesses.

Einlieferungsbeleg
Bitte Beleg gut aufbewahren!

Deutsche Post AG 25462 Rellingen
81014677 8529 11.03.26 15:13

Sendungsnummer: RT 3435 8090 1DE

Einschreiben Einwurf

. . . . . .

Information zum Sendungsstatus
Code bequem mit unserer App scannen
oder Sendungsnummer unter
www.deutschepost.de/briefstatus eingeben

Kundenservice Brief
0228 4333112
montags bis freitags von 8 bis 18 Uhr

Vielen Dank für Ihren Besuch.
Ihre Deutsche Post AG

Einschreiben Einwurf RT343580901DE

Deutsche Post

Die Sendung wurde am 20.03.2026 zugestellt.

Auslieferungsbeleg

Der Auslieferungsbeleg ist systemisch mit der Sendungsnummer des Einschreibens verknüpft.

Datum: 20.03.2026

Postleitzahl Zustellbezirk: 22869

Die Sendung wurde in den Briefkasten bzw. das Postfach der Empfangsperson eingelegt.

Formular: Auslieferungsdokumentation Version 3.0